

112TH CONGRESS 1ST SESSION

S. 1194

To facilitate compliance with Article 36 of the Vienna Convention on Consular Relations, done at Vienna April 24, 1963, and for other purposes.

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

JUNE 14, 2011

Mr. LEAHY introduced the following bill; which was read twice and referred to the Committee on the Judiciary

A BILL

- To facilitate compliance with Article 36 of the Vienna Convention on Consular Relations, done at Vienna April 24, 1963, and for other purposes.
 - Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
 - 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,
 - 3 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.
 - 4 This Act may be cited as the "Consular Notification
 - 5 Compliance Act of 2011".
 - 6 SEC. 2. PURPOSE AND STATEMENT OF AUTHORITY.
 - 7 (a) Purpose.—The purpose of this Act is to facili-
 - 8 tate compliance with Article 36 of the Vienna Convention
 - 9 on Consular Relations, done at Vienna April 24, 1963, and

These materials are distributed by Brownstein Hyatt Farber Schreck, LLP on behalf of the Embassy of Mexico. Additional information is on file with the Department of Justice, Washington, D.C.

701 JUN 20 ANTI: 0

2011 JUN 20 AH 11: 05

9

1	any comparable provision of a bilateral international
2	agreement addressing consular notification and access.
3	(b) STATEMENT OF AUTHORITY.—This Act is en-
4	acted pursuant to authority contained in articles I and VI
5	of the Constitution of the United States.
6	SEC. 3. CONSULAR NOTIFICATION AND ACCESS.
7	(a) In GENERAL.—As required under, and consistent
8	with, Article 36 of the Vienna Convention on Consular Re-
9	lations, done at Vienna April 24, 1963, and any com-
10	parable provision of a bilateral international agreement
11	addressing consular notification and access, if an indi-
12	vidual who is not a national of the United States is de-
13	tained or arrested by an officer or employee of the Federal
14	Government or a State or local government, the arresting
15	or detaining officer or employee, or other appropriate offi-
16	cer or employee of the Federal Government or a State or
17	local government, shall notify that individual without delay
18	that the individual may request that the consulate of the
19	foreign state of which the individual is a national be noti-
20	fied of the detention or arrest.
21	(b) Notice.—
22	(1) IN GENERAL.—The consulate of the foreign
23	state of which an individual detained or arrested is

a national shall be notified without delay if the indi-

vidual requests consular notification under sub-

-8 1194 **1**8

24

25

section (a), and an appropriate officer or employee
of the Federal Government or a State or local gov-
ernment shall provide any other consular notification
required by an international agreement.

(2) FIRST APPEARANCE.—If an appropriate officer or employee of the Federal Government or a State or local government has not notified the consulate described in paragraph (1) regarding an individual who is detained pending criminal charges and the individual requests notification or notification is mandatory under a bilateral international agreement, notification shall occur not later than the first appearance of the individual before the court with jurisdiction over the charge.

(e) COMMUNICATION AND ACCESS.—An officer or

employee of the Federal Government or a State or local

government (including an officer or employee in charge of a facility where an individual who is not a national of the United States is held following detention or arrest) shall reasonably ensure that the individual detained or arrested is able to communicate freely with, and be visited by, officials of the consulate of the foreign state of which the individual detained or arrested is a national, consistent with the obligations described in section 2(a).

These materials are distributed by Brownstein Hyatt Farber Schreck, LLP on behalf of the Embassy of Mexico. Additional information is on file with the Department of Justice, Washington, D.C.

•S 1194 IS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

ĺ	(d) No Cause of Action.—Nothing in this section	
2	is intended to create any judicially or administratively en-	
3	forceable right or benefit, substantive or procedural, by	
4	any party against the United States, its departments,	
5	agencies, or other entities, its officers or employees, or any	
6	other person or entity, including, an officer, employee, or	
7	agency of a State or local government.	
8	SEC. 4. PETITION FOR REVIEW.	
9	(a) In General.—	
10	(1) JURISDICTION.—Notwithstanding any other	
11	provision of law, a Federal court shall have jurisdic-	
12	tion to review the merits of a petition claiming a vio-	20
13	lation of Article 36(1) (b) or (c) of the Vienna Con-	2011 JUN 20 KM 11: 05
14	vention on Consular Relations, done at Vienna April	# 21
15	24, 1963, or a comparable provision of a bilateral	
16	international agreement addressing consular notifi-	eminate eminate experience 0 0
17	cation and access, filed by an individual convicted	05
13	and sentenced to death by any Federal or State	
19	court before the date of enactment of this Act.	
20	(2) DATE FOR EXECUTION.—If a date for the	
21	execution of an individual described in paragraph (1)	
22	has been set, the court shall grant a stay of execu-	
23	tion if necessary to allow the court to review a peti-	

-S 1194 IS

24

tion filed under paragraph (1).

These materials are distributed by Brownstein Hyatt Farber Schreck, LLP on behalf of the Embassy of Mexico. Additional information is on file with the Department of Justice, Washington, D.C.

BHER

MABS: DI 1105 DS nut

Ī

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

(3) STANDARD.—To obtain relief, an individual

described in paragraph (1) shall make a showing of

tion or laws of the United States is re-

moved, if the individual described in para-

2011 JUN 20 AMII: 05

8 1194 19

such Federal or State action.

1

2

3

4

graph (1) was prevented from filing by

(B) TOLLING.—The time during which a

properly filed application for State post-convic-

prescribed by paragraph (4)(A)(iii), whichever is

later. No petition filed in conformity with the re-

quirements of the preceding sentence shall be consid-

ered a second or successive habeas corpus applica-

tion or subjected to any bars to relief based on pre-

2011 JUN 20 MITH: 05

48 1194 IS

21

22

23

24

25

	•	
1	enactment proceedings other than as specified in	
2	paragraph (3).	
3	(6) APPEAL.—	
4	(A) IN GENERAL.—A final order on a peti-	
5	tion for review under paragraph (1) shall be	
6	subject to review on appeal by the court of ap-	
7	peals for the circuit in which the proceeding is	
8	held.	
9	(B) APPEAL BY PETITIONER.—An indi-	
10	vidual described in paragraph (1) may appeal a	
11	final order on a petition for review under para-	
12	graph (1) only if a district or circuit judge	
13	issues a certificate of appealability. A district	
14	judge or circuit judge may issue a certificate of	
15	appealability under this subparagraph if the in-	~
16	dividual has made a substantial showing of ac-	
17	tual prejudice to the criminal conviction or sen-	
18	tence of the individual as a result of a violation	0
19	of Article 36(1) of the Vienna Convention on	man of the second of the secon
20	Consular Relations, done at Vienna April 24,	2011 JUN 20 MM11: 05
21	1963, or a comparable provision of a bilateral	
22	international agreement addressing consular no-	
23	tification and access.	

(b) VIOLATION.—

These materials are distributed by Brownstein Hyan Farber Schreck, LLP on behalf of the Embassy of Mexico. Additional information is on file with the Department of Justice, Washington, D.C.

-8 1194 IS

24

(1) IN GENERAL.—An individual not covered by
subsection (a) who is arrested, detained, or held for
trial on a charge that would expose the individual to
a capital sentence if convicted may raise a claim of
a violation of Article 36(1)(b) or (c) of the Vienna
Convention on Consular Relations, done at Vienna
April 24, 1963, or of a comparable provision of a bi-
lateral international agreement addressing consular
notification and access, at a reasonable time after
the individual becomes aware of the violation, before
the court with jurisdiction over the charge. Upon a
finding of such a violation—

(A) the consulate of the foreign state of which the individual is a national shall be notified immediately by the detaining authority, and consular access to the individual shall be afforded in accordance with the provisions of the Vienna Convention on Consular Relations, done at Vienna April 24, 1963, or the comparable provisions of a bilateral international agreement addressing consular notification and access; and

(B) the court—

(i) shall postpone any proceedings to the extent the court determines necessary

+8 1194 IS

1	to allow for adequate opportunity for con-	
2	sular access and assistance; and	
3	(ii) may enter necessary orders to fa-	
4	cilitate consular access and assistance.	
5	(2) EVIDENTIARY HEARINGS.—The court may	
6	conduct evidentiary hearings if necessary to resolve	
7	factual issues	
8	(3) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this	
9	subsection shall be construed to create any addi-	
10	tional remedy.	
11	SEC. 5. DEFINITIONS.	
12	In this Act—	
13	(1) the term "national of the United States"	
14	has the meaning given that term in section	
15	101(a)(22) of the Immigration and Nationality Act	21
16	(8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(22)); and	
17	(2) the term "State" means any State of the	72
18	United States, the District of Columbia, the Com-	2011 JUN 20 ANTH: 05
19	monwealth of Puerto Rico, and any territory or pos-	
20	session of the United States.	G.

O

48 1194 IS

June 03, 2011

The Honorable Patrick J. Leahy Chairman U.S. Senate Committee on the Judiciary 224 Dirksen Senate Office Building Washington, DC 20510 The Honorable Charles E. Grassley
Ranking Member
U.S. Senate Committee on the Judiciary
152 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

an Characteria

Dear Chairman Leahy and Ranking Member Grassley:

As you may know, while on assignment for Current TV my colleague, Laura Ling, and I were arrested by North Korean soldiers for crossing the frozen Tumen river, which separates the Republic of China and North Korea. We were held, as prisoners, isolated from one another, for four and a half months. We were repeatedly interrogated, and eventually put on trial and sentenced to twelve years hard labor. It was only through the extraordinary efforts of the Department of State and former President Bill Clinton that we were pardoned and allowed to return home.

The sense of darkness in the first week in North Korean captivity was unbearable. My biggest fear was nobody knowing where I was or what had happened to me. By the middle of the second week, through the hard work of the Swedish Ambassador pointing out to North Korea its responsibilities under the Vienna Convention, I was able to sit down with him. The Swedish Ambassador represented the interests of the United States in North Korea. It was a very short meeting but the significance of the meeting is hard to describe in words. I can only mention the sense of security I had, knowing that someone other than North Korea was monitoring my case. I believe the prompt consular access protected me from any mistreatment by my captors. Later on I was allowed to meet with him three more times. The meetings were my only communication with the US government and to let them know my situation and for me to find out how my family was doing. I know the importance of having consular access, as demanded by the Vienna Convention on Consular Relations.

The United States has always been on the forefront on human rights issues. People look to the US as a watchdog for human rights violations around the globe. We should not allow the Vienna Convention violation in our backyard. We ask the world to treat our citizens with respect when they are detained in other countries and demand that they have consular access regardless if its our Ambassador or one that represents our interest. We also have to live by those standards.

It is hard to imagine the importance of meeting a friendly face in a place of isolation and darkness until you are in that situation. To know that someone is there monitoring your case and helping to keep you and your family informed of the situation is beyond words. Having consular access has nothing to do with the verdict or sentence of a trial but it is a little light of hope that you have a chance at a fair trial.



From this very personal experience and point of view, I am writing to urge your full support for legislation that would provide access to judicial review of consular notification violations.

I believe that swift action by Congress to rectify our nation's own consular treaty violations is absolutely essential to prevent the further erosion of this universal safeguard. I also firmly believe that the potential risks of inaction are simply too serious to ignore. For every endangered American hidden in a foreign prison—and for their fearful families back at home—there can be no more important priority than upholding the reciprocal right to consular protection.

Sincerely,

Euna Lee